

| | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/771,857 | LAYMANC, RAYMOND N. |
| | Examiner Hugh B. Thompson II | Art Unit 3634 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: *non-final*

(1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Raymond Laymance.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: *pm*

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1,7-9,13,14, proposed claims 21 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Hugh B. Thompson II
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Pro se applicant agrees to amend claim 1 to add the previously indicated allowable subject matter of claims 7 and 9. New claims 21 and 22 will be added to include the previously indicated allowable subject matter of claims 1, 7, 8, and 13, and claims 1 and 14, respectively. .